1874-9445/20

512



RESEARCH ARTICLE

The Occurrence and Risk Assessment of Aflatoxin M₁ in Yoghurt Samples from Hamadan, Iran

Ali Heshmati¹, Amir Sasan Mozaffari Mozaffari Nejad^{2,*} and Tayebeh Ghyasvand¹

¹Department of Nutrition and Food Safety, Nutrition Health Research Center, Hamadan University of Medical Sciences and Health Services, Hamadan, Iran

²Nutrition Health Research Center, Student Research Center, Hamadan University of Medical Sciences and Health Services, Hamadan, Iran

Abstract:

Background:

Aflatoxin M₁ (AFM₁) is a hepatocarcinogenic and hydroxylated metabolite of aflatoxin B₁, detected in milk and milk products.

Objectives:

The aim of our research was to determine the incidence and risk assessment of AFM_1 through the consumption of yoghurt in Hamadan province of Iran.

Methods:

Fifty yoghurt samples were gathered from various areas of Hamadan province, Iran and tested for AFM_1 by ELISA technique. The estimated daily intake (EDI) and the liver cancer incidence of aflatoxin M_1 were determined.

Results:

 AFM_1 was detected in 43 (86%) samples, (mean: 28.56 ng/kg; range: <5-98.65 ng/kg). The level of AFM_1 in 9 (18%) samples was above the maximum tolerance limit (50 ng/kg). The AFM_1 intake through yoghurt consumption in various population groups ranged from 0.016 to 0.032 ng/kg bw/day in mean consumers and 0.019 to 0.046 ng/kg bw/day in high consumers.

Conclusion:

The AFM₁ intake through yoghurt contributed a slight part from the overall incidence of liver cancer in the Iranian population. From the findings of the current study, it can be derived that although the high percentage of yoghurt samples in Iran proved to be contaminated with AFM₁ contents, did not show a public health concern considering the European Commission (EC) and the Institute of Standards and Industrial Research of Iran (ISIRI) maximum limits.

Keywords: Aflatoxin M₁, Yoghurt, ELISA, Hamadan, Risk assessment, European commission.

Article History Received: May 27, 2020	Revised: July 19, 2020	Accepted: July 27, 2020
--	------------------------	-------------------------

1. INTRODUCTION

Yoghurt is one of the most important and popular products among fermented dairy products, which has been used as food consumed by the world population for thousands of years. Yoghurt contains nearly all nutrients necessary to sustain life [1, 2]. Also, it is a rich source of dietary minerals including vitamins (B_6 and B_{12}), calcium (Ca), magnesium (Mg), zinc (Zn), phosphorus (P), and potassium (K), and so many others [3]. It is also a great source of essential amino acids of great biological modality, generally including premier protein levels toward milk. Nevertheless, on the useful effects of yoghurt consumption, a substantial number of studies have presented the presence of heavy metals and aflatoxin M_1 in foodstuff, which is a subject of serious concern, which has been increasing over the last few years [3].

Aflatoxin M_1 (AFM₁) is one of the groups of mycotoxin produced by *Aspergillus* species, especially *A. nomius*, *A.*

^{*} Address correspondence to this author at Nutrition Health Research Center, Student Research Center, Hamadan University of Medical Sciences and Health Services, Hamadan, Iran; Tel: +989120193652; E-mail: asmozafarinejad@yahoo.in

flavus and *A. parasiticus* and found in contaminated milk (breast and animal), and dairy products. Researchers have demonstrated that the concentration of AFM_1 is a dangerous toxic and carcinogenic for humans and animals [4 - 8].

Many countries have implemented regulations to control the amount of mycotoxins groups among food and agricultural products, especially for AFM_1 in milk products. These regulations differ among several countries with respect to their economic considerations [4, 7, 9 - 12]. Hence, the European Commission (EC) and the Institute of Standards and Industrial Research of Iran (ISIRI) have set a limit of 50 ng/kg for AFM_1 in yoghurt varieties.

The immediate detection of contamination is one of the beneficial methods to control aflatoxin M_1 [13]. The current detection methods such as enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), and thin-layer chromatography (TLC) are generally performed for the AFM₁ analysis [4 - 8, 11 - 14]. In Iran, the ELISA technique is the most usual and popular among researchers because it is an ordinary, rapid, and low-cost technique for the survey of AFM₁ [4 - 8, 15].

The aim of the current survey was to evaluate the occurrence and risk assessment of exposure of AFM_1 through the consumption of yoghurt in the Hamadan province of Iran.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Sample Collection

The current research is a cross-sectional study. For this purpose, 50 yoghurt samples were randomly purchased from supermarkets located in popular markets in different parts of Hamadan province, Iran, from October 2017 to August 2018. Eventually, all samples were carried to the lab and kept in the refrigerator at 4 °C. All samples were analyzed for AFM₁ before the expiration date of the samples. All procedures of study were approved by the Ethics Committee of Hamadan University of Medical Sciences with No. IR.UMSHA.REC.1396.617.

2.2. Methods

The quantitative measurement of AFM_1 in samples was distinguished by competitive ELISA using AFM_1 test kit (RIDASCREEN® AFM_1 Art. No.: R1121, R-Biopharm, Darmstadt, Germany). The preparation of the yoghurt samples and AFM_1 measurement were performed according to the method described by the kit manufacturer. The mean lower limit of detection (LOD) for AFM_1 in yoghurt was 5 ng/kg.

2.3. Risk Assessment for Exposure to AFM₁ Through Yoghurt

The risk of AFM_1 intake through yoghurt was carried out by the deterministic approach and calculated according to the following equation [16, 17]: AFM₁ intake (ng/kg bw/day) = the concentration of AFM₁ in yoghurt (ng/kg) \times 95th percentile (for high consumers) or mean (for mean consumers) of per capita yoghurt consumption (kg)/ body weight (kg) Equation 1.

95th percentile (for high consumers) or mean (for mean consumers) of per capital yoghurt consumption was obtained by food frequency questionnaires (FFQ), Before the study, FFQ was prepared and given to people with different age-sex groups to complete it during a month. The people were randomly selected. For all participants in FFQ study, written informed consent was obtained.

For risk assessment, AFM_1 value in yoghurt samples in which the concentration of this mycotoxin was lower than LOD was considered as LOD [17].

The liver cancer incidence due to AFM₁ consumption was estimated according to Equation 2 [17]:

Liver cancer incidence (cancers/yr/ 10^8 persons) = AFM₁ intake (ng/kg bw/day) × potency

Potency= $0.001 \times (1-P) + 0.03 \times P$

In these equations, the liver cancer potency of AFM_1 in individuals positive for negative hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAgz +) and individuals negative for negative hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAgz -) was considered as 0.03 and 0.001 cancers/year/ ng of AFM_1 / kg body weight/ day in a population of 100,000 [17]. According to previous studies, HBsAgz + prevalence rate in Iran was 1.7% [18].

2.4. Statistical Analysis

The concentrations of AFM_1 in milk samples were analyzed by SPSS Statistics 16.0 for Windows. One-side t-test was applied to compare the mean concentration of AFM_1 samples with the maximum acceptable amount of the ISIRI and European Union (50 ng/kg) regulation. Differences between values were considered significant at $P \le 0.05$.

3. RESULTS

The occurrence and levels of AFM_1 in yoghurt samples consumed in Hamadan province are presented in Table 1. AFM_1 was detected above an acceptable level of 86% (43/50) in the analyzed samples, ranging from <5 to 98.65 ng/kg. Levels of the AFM_1 in 9 (18%) yoghurt samples exceeded the ISIRI and European union *i.e.* 50 ng/kg. On the other hand, considering the US FDA [19] limits for AFM_1 in milk (500 ng/l), none of the samples had levels above the maximum tolerance limit.

The AFM₁ intakes through yoghurt in different age-sex groups of Iran population are shown in Table **2**. The mean AFM₁ intake in various population groups ranged from 0.016 to 0.032 ng/kg bw/day in mean consumers and 0.019 to 0.046 ng/kg bw/day in high consumers. Data regarding the potential liver cancer risk of AFM₁ in yoghurt in the Iranian population were estimated and presented in Table **2**.

Table 1. The occurrence of AFM₁ in yoghurt samples from Hamadan (Iran) market.

Sample type	N	Positive	Mean (ng/kg)	Standard Deviation (ng/kg)	No. of Positive Samples with AFM ₁		Range (ng/kg)	
					<5 ng/kg	5-50 ng/kg	>50 ng/kg	
Yoghurt	50	43 (86%)	28.56	26.39	7 (14%)	34 (68%)	9 (18%)	<5-98.65

Table 2. Exposure assessment for AFM₁ intake in different sex-age groups of Iran population through yoghurt consumption using deterministic method.

Group and		Mean		Mean Const	umers	High Consumers (percentile 95)					
Sex	Consumers	Weight (kg)	Yoghurt intake (kg/day)	AFM ₁ intake (ng/kg bw/day)	Estimation of cancer risk (cancers/yr/10 ⁸ persons)	Yoghurt intake (kg/day)	AFM ₁ intake (ng/kg bw/day)	Estimation of cancer risk (cancers/yr/10 ⁸ persons)			
Infant (4-9 years)											
Male	56	27.56	0.025	0.026	0.039	0.034	0.036	0.054			
Female	68	25.12	0.027	0.032	0.048	0.040	0.046	0.069			
Teenagers (Teenagers (10-19 years)										
Male	85	52.16	0.034	0.019	0.028	0.042	0.024	0.035			
Female	68	48.15	0.037	0.023	0.034	0.045	0.028	0.041			
Adults (20-6	Adults (20-65 years)										
Male	95	78.65	0.042	0.016	0.023	0.051	0.019	0.028			
Female	89	64.25	0.045	0.020	0.030	0.054	0.024	0.036			
Elderly (>65 years)											
Male	45	71.25	0.045	0.018	0.027	0.055	0.023	0.034			
Female	53	59.36	0.046	0.023	0.034	0.058	0.029	0.043			

Table 3. The occurrence and levels of aflatoxin M₁ (ng/kg) in various yoghurt samples published in previous studies.

Location	No. of Samples	No. Positive Samples (%)	Detection Method	Mean (ng/kg)	Range (ng/kg)	Exceeded Regulation, n (%)	Reference
Iran	61	30 (49.2)	TLC	26	15 - 102	10 (16.4)	Fallah et al. [34]
Turkey	80	70 (87.5)	ELISA	66.1	10-475	16 (20)	Atasever et al. [28]
Iran	60	59 (98.33)	ELISA	51.66	6.2 - 87	38 (63.33)	Issazadeh et al. [35]
Turkey	26	26 (100)	ELISA	238	125 - 269	26 (100)	Tosun et al. [27]
Pakistan	96	59 (61)	HPLC	90.4	4-615.8	28 (47)	Iqbal et al. [34]
Pakistan	96	32 (33.33)	HPLC	90.4	LOD - 880	21 (21.87)	Iqbal et al. [37]
Turkey	50	50 (100)	ELISA	55.28	40.62 - 72.04	5 (10)	Temamogullari & Kanici [38]
Iran	60	48 (80)	ELISA	130.5	19.7 - 319.4	3 (5)	Rahimi [39]
Iran (Traditional)	40	40 (100)	ELISA	33.6	6 - 91	1 (2.5)	Mason et al. [25]
Iran	42	10 (23.8)	ELISA	15.1	6.3 - 21.3	0	Bahrami et al. [40]
South Korea	55	15 (27.27)	HPLC	51*	20-150	NR	Kim-Soo et al. [41]
Malaysia	5	2 (40)	ELISA	16.45*	7.5 - 31	0	Nadira et al. [42]
Pakistan	66	26 (39.39)	HPLC	56	LOD - 196.3	8 (12.12)	Iqbal et al. [43]
Qatar	21	16 (76.1)	ELISA	31.32	4.16-38.21	0	Hassan et al. [44]
Kenya	38	NR	ELISA	117	17-1100	25 (65.78)	Lindahl et al. [45]
China	27	15 (55.5)	ELISA	17.2	4-47	0	Guo <i>et al</i> . [46]

*Mean of positive samples; NR: Not reporte

4. DISCUSSION

Yoghurt is a favorite fermented dairy product, which is used as part of the popular diet in Iran because yoghurt is useful to affect human's health and has nutritional value. Various types of fermented dairy products have been made and consumed in individual households in Iran, Turkey, Qatar, Lebanon, and other Middle Eastern countries for centuries. Considering the present findings, we detected a high level of AFM_1 contamination in yoghurt samples from Iran. In a prior survey, Cano-Sancho *et al.* [16] reported the lower level of AFM_1 at a detectable level in yoghurt samples; but in a recent study, Altun *et al.* [20] detected AFM_1 in 100% of yoghurt samples. Various studies by other researchers from different countries have previously been conducted on high or low contamination levels of AFM_1 in yoghurt. Table **3** shows

the compilation of data level of AFM₁ contamination in yoghurt samples from previous studies from several countries measured by different techniques including HPLC and ELISA.

Hassan and Kassaift [21] from Lebanon using ELISA method reported that 49 (72%) of 68 samples of yoghurt were detected with AFM₁ and in 9 (14%) samples, the amount of AFM₁ was higher than the EU regulations (50 ng/kg), this result is approximately similar to our research results. The other survey from Iran by Tavakoli *et al* [7] that were done with ELISA technique on 50 samples of yoghurt, 35 (70%) of samples were contaminated with AFM₁. Also, 6 (17.4%) samples of yoghurt had greater AFM₁ content than the limit allowed in European Union (EU) 50 (ng/kg).

The other obtained results reported by Tabari et al. [22] determined AFM₁ levels in 120 yoghurt samples from Guilan province in Iran using the ELISA method. They have reported that 100% of the samples found aflatoxin M₁ by a mean concentration of 28.2 ng/kg. Also, 16 (13.3%) samples were above the permissible quantity according to the EC (50 ng/kg). However, this result is in contrast to our findings, that showed 86% (43/50) were contaminated with AFM₁. The other conducted results were revealed in Serbia by Tomašević et al. [23], which were observed with ELISA, from 56 samples of yoghurt, all samples (100%) were contaminated with AFM₁. Also, 22 (39.2%) samples were above the permissible level according to the EC (50 ng/kg). But, our results reported that approximately some of the yoghurt samples were contaminated with AFM₁. This result from Serbia is similar to another study from Iran by Nikbakht et al. [24]. They detected that all yoghurt samples (100%) were contaminated with AFM₁; and also, 20 (22.22%) of the samples were above the permissible level according to EU (50 ng/kg).

In a previous study performed in Iran, Mason et al. [25] revealed that in 37 (92.5%)out of 40 industrial yoghurt samples, aflatoxin M₁ was detected in concentration between <5 and 71 ng/kg; and in 3 (7.5%) samples, the contamination level exceeded the maximum permissible limit (50 ng/kg). In agreement with our research, these reports proved a widespread incidence of aflatoxin M1 in yoghurt samples ready and consumed in Iran. Compared to some reports from several countries, our results showed higher contamination. In Turkey, for example, aflatoxin M_1 was detected in 2 (3.3%) out of 60 yoghurt samples with a range of 24 to 28 ng/kg. Also, none of the samples had aflatoxin M₁ above the maximum tolerance limit (50 ng/kg) set by the EU [26]. In another survey from China using the ELISA method [17], it was observed that in 8 (4.49%), out of 178 samples, aflatoxin M₁ was present. The contamination level was detected in 8 (4.49%) of the samples which were above 50 ng/kg according to the EU.

According to several studies carried out in different neighboring countries of Iran, Turkey Altun *et al.* [20]; Tosun & Ayyildiz [27]; Atasever *et al.* [28] detected a high incidence of aflatoxin M_1 in yoghurt samples (100%, 100% and 87.5%, respectively). These results were detected by the ELISA method.

As seen in Table 3, the contamination levels of aflatoxin

 M_1 in yoghurt samples vary from one study to another. This variability can be explained by different factors such as geographical region, yoghurt-making procedures, analytical method employed and seasons variability [4, 29]. On the other hand, the previous study by Iha *et al.* [30] showed that the process of fermentation of yoghurt has no effect on aflatoxin M_1 . Also, the other surveys revealed that the quality of raw materials in yoghurt is effective on the presence and levels of AFM₁. It is also noted that little or no reduction in aflatoxin M_1 levels occurs as a result of pasteurization [31].

The incidence of liver cancer in Iran was 3.53 cancers per year per 10⁵ persons or 3530 cancers/yr/10⁸ persons [32] and AFM₁ intake through yoghurt contributed 0.023-0.048 cancers/yr/10⁸ person for mean consumers and 0.028-0.069 cancers/yr/10⁸ person for high consumers. Therefore, our findings indicated AFM₁ in yoghurt contributed a slight part from the overall incidence of liver cancer in the Iranian population. The intake of AFM₁ and liver cancer incidence due to the consumption of this mycotoxin through yoghurt and milk was reported in other countries including China, Spain, Greece and Serbia [16, 17, 33]. The range of liver cancer incidence or hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) due to AFM₁ intake through milk and yoghurt was 0.025-0.033 case or cancers/yr/10⁸ person in China that it was similar to our study while in Serbia and Greece was 3.6–0.4.7 and 0.7–0.9 case or cancers/yr/10⁸ person, respectively that it was higher than the current study [17, 33]. The dispenses were related to the AFM₁ level and consumption value of yoghurt.

CONCLUSION

From our findings of the current study, it can be derived that although a high percentage of yoghurt samples in Iran proved to have AFM_1 contents, but it does not show a public health concern considering the European Commission (EC) and the Institute of Standards and Industrial Research of Iran (ISIRI) maximum limits. However, regarding the important role of milk, especially dairy products in the human diet, there is a huge concern about the presence of AFM_1 in milk and dairy products. Hence, it is important to use fast methods in the detection of AFM_1 in milk and dairy products; and also, the Iranian public health authorities have to monitor ceaselessly to detect AFM_1 contamination.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

Ali Heshmati, and Amir Sasan Mozaffari Nejad conceived, designed, analyzed, and interpreted the data; Tayebeh Ghyasvand and Amir Sasan Mozaffari Nejad performed data collection. Ali Heshmati and Amir Sasan Mozaffari Nejad wrote the first draft and finalized it. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

ETHICS APPROVAL AND CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE

All procedures of the study were approved by the Ethics Committee of Hamadan University of Medical Sciences, Iran with No. IR.UMSHA.REC.1396.617.

HUMAN AND ANIMAL RIGHTS

Not applicable.

CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION

Not applicable.

AVAILABILITY OF DATA AND MATERIALS

The data that support the findings of this study are with the corresponding author, [ASMN], and can be made available on reasonable request.

FUNDING

The authors appreciate the Vice-Chancellor of Research and Technology of Hamadan University of Medical Sciences for financial support (Project No. 9609286051).

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflicts of interest, financial or otherwise.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Declared none.

REFERENCES

- Czaja T, Baranowska M, Mazurek S, Szostak R. Determination of nutritional parameters of yoghurts by FT Raman spectroscopy. Spectrochim Acta A Mol Biomol Spectrosc 2018; 196: 413-7. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.saa.2018.02.054] [PMID: 29499570]
- [2] Pothuraju R, Yenuganti VR, Hussain SA, Sharma M. Fermented Milk in Protection Against Inflammatory Mechanisms in Obesity Immunity and Inflammation in Health and Disease. Elsevier 2018; pp. 389-401.
- [3] Cano-Sancho G, Perelló G, Nadal M, Domingo J. Comparison of the nutritional composition and the concentrations of various contaminants in branded and private label yogurts. J Food Compos Anal 2015; 42: 71-7.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jfca.2015.03.008]

- [4] Kamkar A, Fallah AA, Mozaffari Nejad AS. The review of aflatoxin M1 contamination in milk and dairy products produced in Iran. Toxin Rev 2014; 33(4): 160-8.
 - [http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/15569543.2014.922580]
- [5] Kamkar A, Yazdankhah S, Nafchi AM, Nejad AS. Aflatoxin M1 in raw cow and buffalo milk in Shush city of Iran. Food Addit Contam Part B Surveill 2014; 7(1): 21-4.
- [http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/19393210.2013.830277] [PMID: 24779974]
 [6] Mashak Z, Jafari Sohi H, Heshmati A, Mozaffari Nejad AS. Assessment of AflatoxinM1 Contamination in UHT Flavored Milk Samples in Karaj, Iran. Iran J Pharm Res 2016; 15(3): 407-11.
 [PMID: 27980575]
- [7] Tavakoli H, Kamkar A, Riazipour M, Mozaffari Nejad AS, Rafati H. Assessment of aflatoxin M1 levels by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay in yoghurt consumed in Tehran, Iran. Asian J Chem 2013; 25(5): 2836-8.
 - [http://dx.doi.org/10.14233/ajchem.2013.14058]
- [8] Nejad ASM, Heshmati A, Ghiasvand T. The occurrence and risk assessment of exposure to aflatoxin M1 in ultra-high temperature and pasteurized milk in Hamadan province of Iran. Osong Public Health Res Perspect 2019; 10(4): 228-33.
- [http://dx.doi.org/10.24171/j.phrp.2019.10.4.05] [PMID: 31497494]
 [9] Heshmati A, Mozaffari Nejad AS. Ochratoxin A in dried grapes in Hamadan province, Iran. Food Addit Contam Part B Surveill 2015; 8(4): 255-9.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/19393210.2015.1074945] [PMID: 26219951]

[10] Khodadadi M, Malekpour A, Mehrgardi MA. Aptamer functionalized magnetic nanoparticles for effective extraction of ultratrace amounts of aflatoxin M1 prior its determination by HPLC. J Chromatogr A 2018; 1564: 85-93. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2018.06.022] [PMID: 29903468]

- [11] Mozaffari Nejad AS, Bayat M, Ahmadi AA. Investigation of Aflatoxin B-1 in Spices Marketed in Hyderabad, India by ELISA Method. J Pure Appl Microbiol 2013; 7(4): 3219-23.
- [12] Mozaffari Nejad AS, Giri A. The measurement of Aflatoxin B1 in chilli and black peppers of qaemshahr, Iran. J Kerman Univ Med Sci 2015; 22(2): 185-93.
- [13] Ben Aissa S, Mars A, Catanante G, Marty J-L, Raouafi N. Design of a redox-active surface for ultrasensitive redox capacitive aptasensing of aflatoxin M1 in milk. Talanta 2019; 195: 525-32. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2018.11.026] [PMID: 30625578]
- [14] Mozaffari Nejad AS, Sabouri Ghannad M, Kamkar A. Determination of aflatoxin B1 levels in Iranian and Indian spices by ELISA method. Toxin Rev 2014; 33(4): 151-4. [http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/15569543.2014.942319]

[http://dx.doi.org/10.5109/15509545.2014.942519

- [15] Moosavy M, Roostaee N, Katiraee F, Habibi-Asl B, Mostafavi H, et al. Aflatoxin M1 occurrence in pasteurized milk from various dairy factories in Iran. Int Food Res J 2013; 20(6): 3351.
- [16] Cano-Sancho G, Marin S, Ramos AJ, Peris-Vicente J, Sanchis V. Occurrence of aflatoxin M₁ and exposure assessment in Catalonia (Spain). Rev Iberoam Micol 2010; 27(3): 130-5.
- [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.riam.2010.05.003] [PMID: 20601075]
 [17] Guo Y, Yuan Y, Yue T. Aflatoxin m1 in milk products in china and dietary risk assessment. J Food Prot 2013; 76(5): 849-53.
- [http://dx.doi.org/10.4315/0362-028X.JFP-12-419] [PMID: 23643127]
 [18] Porolajal J, Majdzadeh R. Prevalence of chronic Hepatitis B infection in Iran. Majallah-i Ipidimiyuluzhi-i Iran 2009; 4(3): 1-8.
- [19] FDA S. 527. 400 Whole Milk, Low Fat Milk, Skim Milk–Aflatoxin M1 (CPG 710610) FDA/ORA Compliance Policy Guides. 2005.
- [20] Altun SK, Temamoğullari FK, Atasever M, Demirci M. Determination of Aflatoxin M1 levels in some cheese types and retail yoghurt samples. Res J Biotechnol 2017; 12(10): 47-52.
- [21] Hassan HF, Kassaify Z. The risks associated with aflatoxins M1 occurrence in Lebanese dairy products. Food Control 2014; 37: 68-72. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2013.08.022]
- [22] Tabari M, Tabari K, Tabari O. Aflatoxin M1 determination in yoghurt produced in Guilan province of Iran using immunoaffinity column and high-performance liquid chromatography. Toxicol Ind Health 2013; 29(1): 72-6.
- [http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0748233712446729] [PMID: 22637575]

 [23]
 Tomašević I, Petrović J, Jovetić M, *et al.* Two year survey on the
- occurrence and seasonal variation of aflatoxin M1 in milk and milk products in Serbia. Food Control 2015; 56: 64-70. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2015.03.017]
- [24] Nikbakht MR, Lachiniyan S, Rahbar S, et al. Aflatoxin M1 contamination in traditional yoghurts produced in Guilan province, Iran. Asian Journal of Pharmaceutical Research and Health Care 2016; 8(1)
 - [http://dx.doi.org/10.18311/ajprhc/2016/663]
- [25] Mason S, Arjmandtalab S, Hajimohammadi B, et al. Aflatoxin M1 contamination in industrial and traditional yogurts produced in Iran. Journal of food quality and hazards control 2015; 2(1): 11-4.

[26] Sahin HZ, Celik M, Kotay S, Kabak B. Aflatoxins in dairy cow feed, raw milk and milk products from Turkey. Food Addit Contam Part B Surveill 2016; 9(2): 152-8. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/19393210.2016.1152599] [PMID:

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/19393210.2016.1152599] [PMID: 26883580]

- [27] Tosun H, Ayyıldız T. Occurrence of aflatoxin M1 in organic dairy products. Qual Assur Saf Crops Foods 2013; 5(3): 215-9. [http://dx.doi.org/10.3920/QAS2012.0147]
- [28] Atasever MA, Atasever M. ÖZTURAN K. Aflatoxin M1 levels in retail yoghurt and ayran in Erzurum in Turkey. Turk J Vet Anim Sci 2011; 35(1): 59-62.
- [29] Fallah AA, Jafari T, Fallah A, Rahnama M. Determination of aflatoxin M1 levels in Iranian white and cream cheese. Food Chem Toxicol 2009; 47(8): 1872-5.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2009.04.042] [PMID: 19426778]

- [30] Iha MH, Barbosa CB, Okada IA, Trucksess MW. Aflatoxin M1 in milk and distribution and stability of aflatoxin M1 during production and storage of yoghurt and cheese. Food Control 2013; 29(1): 1-6. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2012.05.058]
- [31] Jasutiene I, Garmiene G, Kulikauskiene M. Pasteurisation and fermentation effects on Aflatoxin M1 stability. Milchwissenschaft 2006; 61(1): 75-9.
- [32] Fazli Z, Fatemeh S, Abdi A, Pourhosseingholi M, Taghinejad H. Studying of liver cancer mortality and morbidity burden in Iran. Sci J Ilam Univ Med Sci 2012; 4(20): 117-22.

[34] Fallah AA, Rahnama M, Jafari T, Saei-Dehkordi SS. Seasonal variation of aflatoxin M1 contamination in industrial and traditional Iranian dairy products. Food Control 2011; 22(10): 1653-6. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2011.03.024]

- [35] Issazadeh K, Darsanaki R, Pahlaviani M. Occurrence of aflatoxin M1 levels in local yogurt samples in Gilan Province, Iran. Ann Biol Res 2012; 3(8): 3853-5.
- [36] Iqbal SZ, Asi MR. Assessment of aflatoxin M1 in milk and milk products from Punjab, Pakistan. Food Control 2013; 30(1): 235-9. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2012.06.026]
- [37] Iqbal SZ, Asi MR, Jinap S. Variation of aflatoxin M1 contamination in milk and milk products collected during winter and summer seasons. Food Control 2013; 34(2): 714-8. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2013.06.009]
- [38] Temamogullari F, Kanici A. Short communication: Aflatoxin M, in dairy products sold in Şanlıurfa, Turkey. J Dairy Sci 2014; 97(1): 162-5.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.3168/jds.2012-6184] [PMID: 24239070]

- [39] Rahimi E. Survey of the occurrence of aflatoxin M1 in dairy products marketed in Iran. Toxicol Ind Health 2014; 30(8): 750-4. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0748233712462476] [PMID: 23047614]
- [40] Bahrami R, Shahbazi Y, Nikousefat Z. Aflatoxin M1 in milk and

traditional dairy products from west part of Iran: Occurrence and seasonal variation with an emphasis on risk assessment of human exposure. Food Control 2016; 62: 250-6.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2015.10.039]

- [41] KiM–Soo KRLM. Aflatoxin M1 levels in dairy products from South Korea determined by high performance liquid chromatography with fluorescence detection. Journal of Food and Nutrition Research 2016; 55(2): 171-80.
- [42] Nadira AF, Rosita J, Norhaizan M, Redzwan SM. Screening of aflatoxin M1 occurrence in selected milk and dairy products in Terengganu, Malaysia. Food Control 2017; 73: 209-14. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2016.08.004]
- [43] Iqbal SZ, Asi MR, Malik N. The seasonal variation of aflatoxin M1 in milk and dairy products and assessment of dietary intake in Punjab, Pakistan. Food Control 2017; 79: 292-6. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2017.04.015]
- [44] Hassan ZU, Al-Thani R, Atia FA, Almeer S, Balmas V, et al. Evidence of low levels of aflatoxin M1 in milk and dairy products
- marketed in Qatar. Food Control 2018; 92: 25-9. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2018.04.038]
- [45] Lindahl JF, Kagera IN, Grace D. Aflatoxin M₁ levels in different marketed milk products in Nairobi, Kenya. Mycotoxin Res 2018; 34(4): 289-95.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12550-018-0323-4] [PMID: 30109590]
- [46] Guo L, Wang Y, Fei P, Liu J, Ren D. A survey on the aflatoxin M1 occurrence in raw milk and dairy products from water buffalo in South China. Food Control 2019; 105: 159-63.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2019.05.033]

© 2020 Heshmati et al.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Public License (CC-BY 4.0), a copy of which is available at: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode. This license permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.