1874-9445/21

84



RESEARCH ARTICLE

Variations in Desired Fertility Preferences among Young and Older Women in Nigeria: Evidence from Demographic Health Survey 2018

Kemi Akeju^{1,*}, Taiwo Owoeye¹, Raphael Ayeni² and Lucy Jegede³

¹Department of Economics, Ekiti State University, Ado-Ekiti, Nigeria ²Department of Economics, University of the Gambia, Kanifing, Banjul, Gambia ³Department of Sociology, Ekiti State University, Ado-Ekiti, Nigeria

Abstract:

Background:

Despite many countries of the world with fertility below replacement level, fertility rate in Nigeria remains high with contributing factors associated with high fertility preference and the desire for large families.

Objectives:

This paper explores variations in desired fertility preference among Nigerian women within the reproductive ages 15 to 49. It considers the impact of proximate factors of age, wealth, education, use of contraceptives, and other associated factors on fertility preference.

Methods:

Using Nigeria Demographic and Health Survey (NDHS 2018) data, responses of 33924 women with children of 0-60months (birth recode file) were considered. Fertility preference is measured by "ideal no of children". Responses from the dataset were coded into two groups (desire 1-4 children and desire more than 4 children) based on the implicit Four-child Policy of National Policy on Population for Development, Unity, Progress, and Self-reliance of 1988. We use descriptive statistics, logistic regression and cox proportional regression to identify the size and associating impacts of identified explanatory variables on the two groups.

Results:

Many Nigerian women desire more than four children, with ideal family desire of more than four children higher in rural areas than in urban areas. Wealthy and educated households have lower fertility preferences. Younger women within ages 15-29 tend to desire lower fertility but use fewer contraceptives than middle-aged women 30-49. Only 12% of Nigerian women within the reproductive ages use contraceptives.

Conclusion:

Educating younger women through community-based sensitization programs would reduce the desire for large family size and prevent unintended pregnancy.

Keywords: Fertility preference, Desired ideal family size, Regional variation, Use of contraceptives, Young and older women, Women educational attainment.

Article History	Received: December 21, 2020	Revised: January 25, 2021	Accepted: January 26, 2021
-----------------	-----------------------------	---------------------------	----------------------------

1. INTRODUCTION

Global fertility has fallen with a rise in women empowerment, increasing labor market participation, and declining child mortality [1], yet, in Sub-Sahara Africa, fertility remains at a high rate [2]. Due to the high fertility rate in sub-

* Address correspondence to this author at the Department of Economics, Ekiti State University, Ado-Ekiti, Iworoko Road, Nigeria; Tel: +2348033976774; E-mail:kemi.akeju@eksu.edu.ng Sahara Africa, Africa's population is expected to be above 2 billion in 2050, with a tendency of rising to up to 4 billion in 2100 [3]. Fertility preferences remain highly unstable and higher in developing countries of the world than in the West [4, 5]. Its flexible nature across Africa tends to be distinct from that of developing countries of Latin America and Asia [6].

High value is placed on fertility in Sub-Saharan Africa, with younger women having no desire to reduce their relatively

high birth rates [7]. The debate on-trend, pattern, and nature of fertility transition in Africa are on-going [6, 8 - 10]. This debate is associated with its poor, weak program implementation of family planning schemes [11] and the preference for large family size [6]. The fertility gap abounds in Africa [12], observed that for two to three decades, Africa's real fertility rate had surpassed the wanted or preferred fertility by at least two children. The causal factor of this gap can be attributed to low capability to achieve the desired number of childbirth, high gender disparity, and low educational attainments. Other notable literature attributes this gap to unmet contraceptive needs, which remains high in Africa [13, 14].

The weak relationship between family planning programs and fertility reduction [12] has been observed as a contributing factor to slow fertility transition in Africa. The slow fertility transition has also been linked to poor social and health services [15] and Africa's desire for large families driven by structure and pattern of family, social ties, religion, and attitude towards fertility. Fertility preferences have a strong link to socioeconomic determinants of fertility and are important measures for forecasting fertility [7]. The high desire for family size observed in sub-Saharan Africa is an obstacle to rapid fertility decline [10]. A decline in fertility desire and ideal fertility preference across households has been identified as important factors that can help reduce the fertility rate in Sub-Saharan Africa [10, 6]. The decline in preferences that accompanies development, in turn, leads to a decline in actual fertility with the adoption of birth control, induced abortion, and/or contraception [6].

Although half of the world's country presently has a fertility rate below replacement level [16], yet the fertility rate in Nigeria remains high, with a slight drop from 5.5 to 5.4 in 2017 [17]. Earlier studies have shown that despite a slight reduction in fertility rate in Nigeria, the desired number of children remains very high [18] and the rate at which fertility preference and desires are met and achieved remains high [19]. The 2018 Demographic Health Survey report for Nigeria revealed a slight reduction in the fertility rate from 6.0 children in 1990 to 5.3 children per woman in 2018 with fertility in women in rural areas higher than those in urban areas by 1.4 children. The fertility transition in Nigeria is regarded to be very slow [20]. A notable factor promoting high fertility rate is the issue of high fertility preference in Nigeria and low gap between births; NDHS 2018 reported that about 34percent of women within the age of 15-49 who are currently married in Nigeria want to have a child within two years while 30 percent anticipate on waiting for another two years to follow before having a child [21].

This paper investigates associated factors of variations in fertility preference in Nigerian women across regions with a focus on an ideal family size of more than four children and that of within 1-4 children using data from the latest Demographic and Health Surveys, 2018 (DHS). It builds upon the debate on the high fertility rate and the desired fertility preference in Nigeria with consideration of the individual factors of the region, residence place, religion, wealth, education, use of contraceptives, and other associated factors

that shape fertility preferences. Information on fertility preferences can help family planning program planners assess the desire for children, the extent of mistimed and unwanted pregnancies, and the demand for contraception to space or limit births.

The remainder of this paper is arranged in this sequence. Section two describes the materials and methods of the analysis. Section 3 presents the result, while section 4 discusses findings and section 5 concludes the study.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Materials

A secondary analysis of quantitative data was undertaken using nationally representative survey datasets from the Nigerian Demographic and Health Survey (NDHS). NDHS 2018 is implemented by the National Population Commission as a national sample survey that provides up-to-date information on demographic and health indicators. NDHS dataset cut across the six geopolitical zones in Nigeria, that of the 36 states and the Federal Capital Territory (FCT) and also reflect the area of residence (rural and urban). It provides a dataset on child health, maternal health, fertility levels, awareness and usage of family planning, fertility preferences, and women's reproductive health issues. This study considered the childbirth Recode file, which provides information on women within the reproductive ages 15-49 with children of 0-60 months born within the previous five-year to the survey.

2.2. Econometric Model

The dependent variable is fertility preference measured by the question "ideal no of children", this question is classified as a form of fertility preference in Demographic Health Survey. It captures the exact number of children a woman could choose to have in her lifetime with the question "If you could go back to the time before you married and could choose exactly the number of children to have in your whole life, how many would that be?". Responses from the dataset are diverse and for the purpose of this study, these were coded into two groups (1-4 children and more than 4 children); far from the replacement level and the two-child norms associated with developed nations. The rationale for this is based on the implicit Fourchild Policy of National Policy on Population for Development, Unity, Progress, and Self-reliance of 1988. In 1988, National Policy on Population for Development, Unity, Progress, and Self-reliance was set in Nigeria towards reducing population growth rate through reduced fertility rate, within ten specific policy targeted towards "having 50% reduction in the number of women with childbirth of more than four children by 1995 with 80% achievement met by year 2000". The shortcomings of the 1988 policy led to the formulation of the 2004 National Policy on Population for Sustainable Development. The 2004 population policy mainly set 2015 as the target point for achieving the goals contained therein but remained unachievable in Nigeria.

Explanatory variables of interest are embedded in demographic factors of age, region(6 geographical zones), place of residence(urban, rural), religion (Catholic, Other church, Islam and Traditionalist), educational attainment (higher, complete, incomplete, no education), literacy(able to read and cannot read), wealth status (Richest, richer, middle, poorer, poorest), use of contraceptives(modern method, traditional method and no method use), age at first birth(age 15-18, above 18years) and total children ever-born(0-4 children, more than 4 children). We restrict this sample to women with children of 0-60 months because they are presently caring for children and understood what childbearing means in their present situation. ii. It limits responses to currently reproducing mothers only and not to a large group of women within the reproductive ages

2.3. Methods

Our method of analysis involves the use of:

i. Descriptive statistics using counts and percentages to identify the proportion of women in each covariate. We describe statistics for the population sample of 33924 women with childbirth in the previous five-year to 2018 NDHS report in model 1 and also considers the descriptive statistics of 8069 women classified as the success group with a desire of less than four children as ideal family size in model 2.

ii. Logistic regression on the nationally represented 33924 women classified into six geopolitical regions. This helps generate regions with a high desire for a large family of more than four children and their associating factors. The general model of the logistic regression equation used in the analysis is of the form:

$$\ln(\frac{P}{1-P}) = B_0 + B_1 X_1 + B_2 X_2 + B_3 X_3 + \dots + B_k X_k$$

Where $X_1, X_2..., X_k$ are set of independent explanatory variables,

Table 1. Descriptive statistics of variables.

B is a constant and B_1 - B_k are regression coefficients.

P is the probability of an ideal family size larger than 4 children.

iii. our second model considers the Cox proportional regression with a focus on only the 8069 women with the desire of having not more than 4 children (0-4) as ideal family size(considered as the success group). The general model of the Cox proportional regression equation used in the analysis is of the form:

$$H_t = H_0(t) \times \exp(b_1 X_1 + b_2 X_2 + \dots + b_n X_n)$$

By dividing both sides of the above equation by H(t) and taking logarithms, we obtain:

$$\ln \left\langle \frac{H(t)}{H_0(t)} \right\rangle = b_1 X_1 + b_2 X_2 + \cdots + b_n X_n$$

Where:

t is the survival time(age); H(t) is the hazard function determined by a set of covariates Where X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k are set of independent variables

B is a constant and B_1 - B_k are regression coefficients which measure the size of covariates and *H* is the baseline hazard and time *t* indicates the hazard may vary over time.

The Cox proportional regression analysis considers the history of an event in examining the factors of risk.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Descriptive Result

This section discusses the descriptive statistics of 33924 women in Table 1.

	Model 1 (A	Model 2 (N= 8069)		
Variable	Size Percentage		Size Percentage	
15-35	27364	81%	6706	83%
36-49	6560	19%	1363	17%
North Central	5875	17%	1528	19%
North East	7211	21%	566	7%
North West	10305	30%	960	12%
South East	3798	11%	1269	16%
South South	3202	9%	1480	18%
South West	3533	10%	2266	28%
Urban	11699	34%	4219	52%
Rural	22225	66%	3850	48%
Other Church	10326	30%	4390	54%
Catholic	2913	9%	1101	14%
Islam	20412	60%	2483	31%
Traditional	116	0.8%	11	0.1%
Education(Higher)	2636	8%	1468	18%
Education (Complete)	10351	31%	3770	47%
Education(Incomplete)	5546	16%	1516	19%

Variations in Desired Fertility Preferences

(Table 1) contd.....

	Model 1 (A	Model 2 (N= 8069)		
Variable	Size Percentage		Size Percentage	
Education(No education)	15391	45%	1315	16%
Able to read	13847	41%	5560	69%
Cannot Read	20066	59%	2509	31%
Richest	4778	14%	2434	30%
Richer	6166	18%	2088	26%
Middle	7171	21%	1702	21%
Poorer	7743	23%	1116	14%
Poorest	8066	24%	729	9%
total child ever-born(<5)	20472	60%	6530	81%
total child ever-born(>4)	13452	40%	1539	19%
Use of contraceptives (Modern method)	4101	12%	1561	19%
No method	28468	84%	5920	73%
Traditional method	1182	3%	544	7%
husband education(higher)	4593	14%	1816	23%
Secondary	11009	32%	3630	45%
primary	4637	14%	921	11%
no education	11551	34%	941	12%
age at first birth (15-18)	16474	49%	2305	29%
(above 18)	17450	51%	5764	71%
Ideal no of children(0-4)	8069	24%		
Ideal no of children(>4)	25855	76%		

Computed by Author, 2020 based on NDHS 2018 data.

Model 1 in Table 1 considered the general population sample of 33,924 women, with 81% within the age of 15-35 and 19% of 36-49 ages. 30% are from the Northwest and the least population are from the south-south(9%). 66% are in rural areas while the rest 34% live in urban areas. 60% practices Islam and about 39% are Christians(Catholic and other churches. About 84% of women are not using any contraceptive method, 12% use the modern method, and 3% use the traditional method. 8069(24%) desire not more than 4 children, while about 76% (25855) desire a family with more than 4 children.

Model 2 considered the descriptive statistics of the 8069 women who desire no more than 4 children as ideal family size. Statistics revealed that about 83% are within the age of 15-35 years. 28% are from the Southwest, 19% from Northcentral, South-south with 18%, Southeast 16%, Northwest 12% and the least is 7% from the Northeast region. 52% are from urban areas, and about 71% of mothers had their first child at the age above 18 years.

3.2. Ideal Family Size and use of Modern Method of Contraceptives Across Age Group

This section presents the classification of ideal family size based on age groups as presented in Table **2**.

Results revealed that more than 75% of each age group desire to have children more than four. Ideal family size increases with ages except for ages 30-34, with a slight reduction in the size of respondents desiring more than four children. A large number within age45-49 years (87%) desire more than four children; perhaps they have been born, while 76% of those within age 15-29 desire more than four children. A slight variation is found in the proportion across younger age and older adult women desiring children more than four; above 83% of those within 35-49 desire more than four children as ideal family size than 75% of younger age group.

 Table 2. Ideal family size and modern contraceptive uses across age groups.

Age	Total No of Women within Age Group (33,924)	% That Desire Family >4 Children	% Using Modern Contraceptives
15-19	1434	76%	4.7%
20-24	6626	76%	8.6%
25-29	9470	75%	12.3%
30-34	7644	74%	13.6%
35-39	5448	77%	15%
40-44	2409	82%	14%
45-49	893	87%	11%
Authors	(2020) calculation wi	th NDHS 2018 data.	

Use of contraceptives also varies among women with children of 0-60 months, the highest being only 15% (5448 women) in the age group 35-39 years with the use of modern contraceptives to safeguard against unwanted pregnancy and create timing/spacing for the next pregnancy. In general, the use of contraceptives is low but tends to be lower among teenage mothers and younger age groups who are married and has started reproducing at early years. This descriptive analysis posits that knowledge of child spacing and timing of next pregnancy lacks among younger age group women even with the desire to have lesser family size.

Earlier studies have shown that fertility gap abounds [12, 22] revealed that about 18% women had more children than their desired number of children. The presence of a higher

fertility rate than the wanted rate provides evidence of low reproductive health service delivery strength, especially on the implementation of family planning delivery programs in Nigeria. Improvement is needed in making birth control technologies available(to curb the unmet need thereby satisfying demand), accessible and affordable to their populace as well as improved contraceptive technology. High unmet need for contraception is a function of the lower total supply of family planning commodities required by all those women in need, implying a low proportion of demand satisfied by birth.NDHS 2018 reported that trend of contraceptive uses had been low over the past years in Nigeria, with a rise in unwanted pregnancies, as reported in Table **3**.

3.3. Estimation Results

3.3.1. Logistic Regression Result

The regression results analysis presented in Table 4 reveals that women in the Northern region have a high magnitude

association with desiring ideal family of more than four children, with women in the Northeast having more than 8times likelihood of having a larger family than those in the southwest. Result also shows that all regions have more than 2 times odd ratio which signifies that the reference region (southwest) have a lower chance of desiring larger family than all other geopolitical zones; with the highest record in the northeast and northwest. The place of residence also showcases disparity, with the urban areas having a significantly lower chance of desiring larger families than the rural areas. Religion has large explanatory power on the ideal family size with Islam and traditional religion having a high magnitude of association with large ideal family size than those of Catholic and other church with the result of women in younger age group slightly lower than older women. This result can be attributed to religious beliefs, practices, and norms that encourage polygamy and discourage the use of contraceptives, as supported by evidence from the Middle East, Africa, and Asia that religiosity is strongly associated with fertility among Muslims in the global south [23, 24].

Table 3. Trend of wanted fertility rate and use of contraceptive in nigeria 1990-2018.

	1990	2003	2008	2013	2018
Total fertility rate	6.0	5.7	5.7	5.5	5.3
Wanted/desire fertility rate	5.65	5.31	5.24	5.16	4.8
Fertility gap(actual fertility minus wanted)	0.35	0.39	0.46	0.34	0.5
% of currently married women using contraceptive (any modern method)	4	8	10	10	12
% of women with knowledge of any modern con	ntraceptive method			95.8	92.1

Source: author compilation from NDHS for various years.

Table 4. Logistic regression result (Odd Ratio Report).

Ideal Family >4	(Odds Ratio)	(Std. Error)	(P> z)
Age 15-35	0.93	0.041	0.128
36-49	ref		
RegionNorth central	3.39***	0.174	0.000
Northeast	7.91***	0.503	0.000
Northwest	5.12***	0.306	0.000
Southeast	4.69***	0.269	0.000
South-south	2.43***	0.138	0.000
Southwest	ref	Ref	ref
Place Urban	0.80***	0.028	0.000
Rural	ref	Ref	ref
Religion			
Other church	1.44**	0.246834	0.033
Catholic	1.27	0.225138	0.170
Islam	3.13***	0.549995	0.000
Traditional	3.84***	1.437415	0.000
Women Educational Attainment			
Higher	0.52***	0.041156	0.000
Complete	0.74***	0.042686	0.000
Incomplete	0.84***	0.049113	0.003
No education	ref	ref	ref
Household Wealth Status			
Richest	0.65***	0.044965	0.000

Variations in Desired Fertility Preferences

(Table 4) contd.....

Ideal Family >4	(Odds Ratio)	(Std. Error)	(P> z)	
Richer	0.77***	0.047423	0.000	
Middle	0.83***	0.047945	0.002	
Poorer	0.95	0.053137	0.372	
Poorest	ref	ref	ref	
Fertility Rate				
Total child born<5	0.45***	0.01854	0.000	
Total child born >4	ref	ref	ref	
<i>Birth Entry</i> Age at 1st birth <19	1.18***	0.04165	0.000	
Age at 1st birth >18	ref	ref	ref	
Use of Contraceptives				
Modern contraceptive	0.77	0.168791	0.233	
No method of contraceptive	0.93	0.201598	0.735	
Traditional contraceptive method	0.74	0.167965	0.189	
Husband Educational Attainment				
Higher	1.34***	0.091145	0.000	
Secondary	1.48***	0.084699	0.000	
Primary	1.72***	0.113847	0.000	
None	1.66***	0.116553	0.000	
cons	0.39	0.271632	0.176	

Note: * p < 0.10, ** p < 0.05, *** p < 0.01.

On educational attainment, the result indicates that education has a significant correlation with desire on ideal family size. Women with higher education have a lower desire for a larger family size of more than four children than those with incomplete and no education. This finding supports the [25] assertion on educational attainment being not just a socioeconomic factor but an important observable factor of change. Studies have shown that on the ideal family size, the effect of education is stronger than that of income [26]. Husband education has a positive association with desire for a large family; those with higher (34%) and secondary education (48%) have reduced magnitude than primary (78%). It reveals that husband education only may not be sufficient if a reduction in household desire for family size is to be obtained in Nigeria, but women's educational attainment has a high tendency of reducing the desire for family size.

Household wealth reflects the household living standard. Results revealed it has a significant association with the desire for family size. With women in the middle, richer, and the richest class having a lower odd ratio, thus women in wealthy households desire a lower family. This can be attributed to women in the rich group being more knowledgeable and with the desire to create more wealth with their time. Although the poorer group has a lower odd ratio than the poorest group (reference), this result is not significant. The total number of children ever-born by a woman is also associated with her ideal family size; women with children not more than 4 have a 55% chance of not desiring large families of more than 4. Having a first child in teenage years also influences fertility preference; results support this with an odds ratio of 1.18. Age at first birth and entry at marriage has a significant role in women's reproductive system. The result of the use of modern contraceptives also has a lower odd ratio with desiring large family thus signifying that women using contraceptive have no desire for more than four children and give no room for unwanted or unintended pregnancy,

3.3.2. Cox Proportional Regression Result

The Cox proportional regression considered the success group (8069) that desire not more than four children and the associating covariates as presented in Table 5. The result revealed that all the regions performed below the reference region (Southwest) with the least hazard ratio of success in not more than four children as ideal family size found in the Northeast (HR=0.37, p<0.001, CI 0.3-0.4), an indication that the region has only 37% chance of success in the group with 63% hazard of having more than four children as ideal family size. The risk of success is higher in South-south and the Northcentral (HR=0.74, CI 0.6-0.8 and HR=0.69, CI 0.6-0.9 p<0.001), signifying that women in these regions have a 74% and 69% chance of desiring children not more than four as ideal family size.

Table 5. Cox proportional regression on desiring ideal family of not more than four children.

Ideal Family <4	(Hazard Ratio)	rd Ratio) (Std. Error)		95% C.I (lower upper boundary)	
Region					
North central	0.693***	0.024	0.000	0.647	0.743
Northeast	0.371***	0.019	0.000	0.335	0.411
Northwest	0.537***	0.026	0.000	0.489	0.590

90 The Open Public Health Journal, 2021, Volume 14

Ideal Family <4	(Hazard Ratio)	(Std. Error)	(P> z)	95% C.I (lower upper boundary)	
Southeast	0.519***		0.000	0.480	0.561
South-south	0.747***	0.027	0.000	0.696	0.802
Southwest	Ref				
Residence					
Urban	1.08**	0.028	0.004	1.025	1.136
Rural	Ref				
Religion					
Other church	0.644***	0.073	0.000	0.517	0.803
Catholic	0.751*	0.088	0.015	0.597	0.945
Islam	0.505***	0.059	0.000	0.402	0.635
Traditional	0.303***	0.098	0.000	0.161	0.570
Women Educational Attainment					
Higher	1.487***	0.082	0.000	1.335	1.657
Complete	1.708***	0.075	0.000	1.568	1.862
Incomplete	1.695***	0.078	0.000	1.548	1.85
No education	Ref				
Household Wealth Status					
Richest	0.946	0.052	0.309	0.849	1.053
Richer	1.118**	0.057	0.03	1.011	1.23
Middle	1.144***	0.056	0.006	1.039	1.259
Poorer	1.049	0.052	0.334	0.952	1.155
Poorest	Ref				
Fertility rate and Birth entry					
Total child born<5	7.47***	0.25	0.000	7.003	7.98
Total child born >4	ref	ref	ref		
Age at 1st birth <19	2.116***	0.061	0.000	2.000	2.23
Age at 1st birth >18	Ref				
Use of Contraceptives					
Modern contraceptive	1.379**	0.212	0.036	1.021	1.86
No method of contraceptive	1.284	0.195	0.10	0.953	1.72
Traditional contraceptive method	1.335**	0.21	0.067	0.980	1.81
Husband Educational Attainment					
Husband education(higher)	0.801***	0.038	0.000	0.730	0.879
Husband education(secondary)	0.800***	0.032	0.000	0.739	0.86
Husband education(primary)	0.612***	0.03	0.000	0.556	0.67
Husband education(none)	0.613***	0.034	0.000	0.549	0.684

With the urban areas having a higher hazard ratio than the rural areas, this indicates that women living in urban centres have a high desire for not more than four children than those in the rural areas. On religion, Christians (Catholic and Other church) has the highest hazard ratio (HR=0.75, CI 0.5-0.9 and 0.64, CI 0.5-0.8 p<0.001) among all the religions, Islam has HR=0.5, CI 0.4-0.6 and traditionalist recorded HR=0.30, CI 0.1-0.5; an indication that Christians women have a higher chance of not desiring more than four children than those practicing Islam and traditional religion.

Women with higher, complete, and incomplete education have a higher hazard ratio than those with no form of education (reference point). Husband education also correlates with the desire for family size below four children with those with higher and secondary education has a high hazard ratio than those with primary and no form of education. Women in the richer and middle wealth status have a higher hazard ratio (HR=1.11, CI 1.0-1.2 and HR=1.14,CI 1.0-1.2, p<0.01), and although poorer households respond positively yet the result is not significant. On childbirth, women with not more than four children have a higher hazard ratio (HR=7.47, p<0.001, CI 7.0-7.9) than those with more than four children. Age at first birth also has a positive association with those with childbirth at age lesser than 19 having a high hazard ratio of not desiring more than four children as ideal family size.

3.3.3. The Graphical Assessment of Proportional-hazards Assumption

To confirm if our observed major covariates meet the proportional-hazard assumption; we use the Log- minus log plot assessment to visualize the proportional-hazards assumption. We consider the covariates of Religion (Islam), Education (No education), birth entry age(1st child at above 18), use of contraceptive (no method), place of residence

(rural) and fertility rate (having more than four children). Fig. (1) present the result which revealed that our major covariates of religion, education, fertility rate, birth entry age, mothers'

age at birth and use of contraceptive meet the proportionalhazard function with the lines parallel to each other's and not crossing each other for a covariate in a cohort group.



Fig. (1). Log minus – log plot.

a. Religion-Islam, b. Education-No education, c. Birth entry age- 18+, d. Use of contraceptive- No method use, e Place of residence- rural, f. Fertility rate- children 4+, g. Region-Northwest, h. Wealth-poorest.

4. DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS

Although the challenges associated with childbirths are not hidden from women in Nigeria, yet fertility remains high with low use of family planning programs. The desire to have children in Nigeria's context is borne out of many factors associated with culture, norms, and social practice. Regional variations abound, with the Northeast and Northwest regions having the highest fertility rate and the lowest preference for a family size of not more than four children. A report from NDHS indicates that the Northern part has a higher fertility rate than the South, with North West having 6.6 children per woman while the Southwest takes the least with 3.9 children per woman. Across states; Katsina in the North West has the highest fertility rate of 7.3, followed by 7.2 in Bauchi and Jigawa with 7.1. In the Southern part, only Ebonyi in South East recorded a fertility rate of 5.4 children, with other states having a rate below 5.0 children per woman [21].

The huge disparity and variation between the Northern and Southern parts of Nigeria have created which reveal a huge gap in norms, beliefs, and form of living. Often household headship also contributes to high fertility preference; the man as the head of the family sometimes indicates that the wife will always accept her husband's desire. Thus, a woman with a husband wants more children and unlikely to use contraceptives [27]. The use of family planning becomes essential as it can reduce up to 29% maternal mortality [28]. Although different modern methods of contraceptives are used as family planning across the world, differences in the usage and forms shape the success result across countries [29].

Our results show several significant relationships between events in women's lives and their ideal family size. We found evidence that a woman with higher educational attainment desires a smaller family size and those at a young age have a higher chance of not desiring children more than four than the older women. Shred of evidence support that improvement in female education and women empowerment are dominant factors that drive changes in reproductive behaviour [30, 25]. We found that women's fertility preferences were sensitive to household economic status, with those in wealthy households desiring lesser children than the poorest. It has been acknowledged that economic status also influences fertility [31]. A large number of Nigerian women have unmet needs for family planning; younger age women were found to have low use of contraceptives than older women. Thus, there is a need to improve on family planning measures in Nigeria as indicated by [32, 33] study on Africa. We found that early entry into marriage and having a first child in teenage years is closely associated with high fertility and a desire for a larger family. Nigeria large dependent population promote deficits in the economic lifecycle [34], and to reduce the burden, the reproductive rights of women need to be enhanced; it is essential that Nigeria eliminate laws, practices, and policies that exacerbate inequalities and restrict access to essential services including sexual and reproductive health services.

CONCLUSION

With Nigeria fertility and policy on population far from the replacement policy [35], various fertility discussions should

involve not only religious leaders but also the different government ministries, departments, and agencies and should be designed in such a way that every ministry sees the population program as theirs, or as compatible with their goals. There is a need for reproductive education through an increase in exposure to family planning messages by health care providers and various media channels. School curriculum should include the provision of family life education, while the family planning program needs to be evaluated and adequately monitored to capture younger women in early life. There must be deliberate government action seeking to reduce fertility by adopting and implementing policies as well as devoting actions necessary to achieve a decline in fertility.

ETHICS APPROVAL AND CONSENT TO PARTI-CIPATE

Not applicable.

HUMAN AND ANIMAL RIGHTS

No animals/humans were used for studies that are the basis of this research.

CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION

Not applicable.

AVAILABILITY OF DATA AND MATERIALS

The data supporting the findings of this article is available in the DHS archive at [https://dhsprogram.com/data/dataset/ Nigeria_Standard-DHS_2018.cfm?flag=0]. Permission from the DHS program is required to access the data.

FUNDING

None.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflicts of interest, financial or otherwise.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

All relevant contributors are hereby acknowledged for their review and collation of data.

REFERENCES

- United nations department of economic and social affairs. Population division World population prospect. The 2012 revision new york: United nations 2013.
- [2] Lesthaeghe R. The fertility transition in sub-Saharan Africa into the 21st century.University of Michigan PSC Research Reports 2014. no. 14–823, July
- [3] United nations. World population prospects: The 2019 revision. New York, NY: Department of economic and social affairs, population division. Available at: http://esa.un.org/unpd/ wpp/
- [4] Bankole A, Westoff C. Childbearing attitudes and intentions Macro international, Inc 1995. Available at: http://dhsprogram.com/publications/publication-cs17-comparative reports.cfm
- [5] Kodzi IA, Johnson DR, Casterline JB. Examining the predictive value of fertility preferences among Ghanaian women. Demogr Res 2010; 22(30): 965-84.
 - [http://dx.doi.org/10.4054/DemRes.2010.22.30] [PMID: 23970826]
- [6] Bongaarts J, Casterline J. Fertility transition: Is sub-Saharan Africa

different? Popul Dev Rev 2013; 38(Suppl. 1): 153-68.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1728-4457.2013.00557.x] [PMID: 24812439]

- Sennott C, Yeatman S. Stability and change in fertility preferences among young women in Malawi. Int Perspect Sex Reprod Health 2012; 38(1): 34-42.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1363/3803412] [PMID: 22481147]
- [http://dx.doi.org/10.1505/350412] [FMD. 22461147]
 [8] Shapiro D, Gebreselassie T. Fertility transition in sub-Saharan Africa: Falling and stalling. African Population Studies 2008; 23(1): 3-23.
- [9] Casterline JB, Agyei-Mensah S. Fertility desires and the course of fertility decline in sub-Saharan Africa. Popul Dev Rev 2017; 43(Suppl.): 84-111.
- [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/padr.12030]
- Bongaarts J. Africa's unique fertility transition. Popul Dev Rev 2017; 43(Suppl.): 39-58.
- [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1728-4457.2016.00164.x]
 [11] Otieno V, Agwanda A, Khasakhala A. Fertility transition in selected
- sub-Saharan African countries: The role of family planning programs. F1000 Res 2020; 8: 1748. [http://dx.doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.20585.2]
- [12] Günther I, Harttgen K. Desired fertility and number of children born across time and space. Demography 2016; 53(1): 55-83. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s13524-015-0451-9] [PMID: 26786205]
- [13] Bankole A, Ezeh A. Unmet need for couples: An analytical framework and evaluation with DHS data. Popul Res Policy Rev 1999; 18(6): 579-605.
- [http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1006373106870]
- Sedgh G, Hussain R. Reasons for contraceptive nonuse among women having unmet need for contraception in developing countries. Stud Fam Plann 2014; 45(2): 151-69.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1728-4465.2014.00382.x]
- 24931073]
 [15] Moultrie TA, Timæus IM. Intervals, intentions, and institutions: Three important neglected considerations in understanding the African fertility transition Paper presented at Committee on Population, National Research Council workshop "Recent Trends in Fertility in Sub-Saharan Africa. Washington, DC 2015.
- [16] United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division. World population prospects: The 2017 revision.
- [17] World Development Indicators. 2019.
- [18] Ibisomi LD. Fertility transition in Nigeria: Exploring the role of desired number of children. African Population and Health Research Centre 2008; 23(2): 207-22.
- [19] Ibisomi LD. Ascertaining the level of fertility preference implementation in Nigeria. African Population Studies 2011; 25(2): 471-86.
- [20] Bloom D, Finlay J, Humair S, Mason A, Olaniyan O, Soyibo A. Prospects for economic growth in Nigeria: a demographicperspective. IUSSP Seminar on Demographics and Macroeconomic Performance. Paris, France. 2010.
- [21] National Population Commission (NPC) [Nigeria] and ICF. Nigeria Demographic and Health Survey. Abuja, Nigeria, and Rockville, Maryland, USA: NPC and ICF 2018.
- [22] Ibisomi L, Gyimah S, Muindi K, Adjei J. Ideal versus actual: the contradiction in number of children born to Nigerian women. J Biosoc Sci 2011; 43(2): 233-45.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0021932010000684] [PMID: 21205376]
 [23] Okun BS. Fertility and marriage behaviour in Israel. Demogr Res

- 2013; 28(17): 457-504. [http://dx.doi.org/10.4054/DemRes.2013.28.17]
- [24] Behrman J, Erman J. An exploration of differences in ideal family size between Muslim and non-Muslim women in France.Demographic Research. Research Article 2019; 41(22): 617-48.
- [25] Lutz W, Skirbeck V. How education drives demography and knowledge informs projections Chapter 2, 14–38 in World population and human capital in the twenty-first century. Oxford: Oxford University Press 2014. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198703167.003.0002]
- [101] [26] Kebede E. The relative importance of female education on fertility desires in Sub-Saharan Africa: A multi-level analysis. VID working paper 09/2019: Vienna Institute of Demography of the Austrian Academy of Sciences https://www.oeaw.ac.at/fileadmin/subsites/Institute/VID/PDF/Publicat ions/
- [27] Ejembi CL, Dahiru T, Aliyu A. Contextual factors influencing modern contraceptive use in Nigeria. ICF International; Rockville, Maryland, USA 2015.
- [28] Ahmed S, Li Q, Liu L, Tsui AO. Maternal deaths averted by contraceptive use: an analysis of 172 countries. Lancet 2012; 380(9837): 111-25.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(12)60478-4] [PMID: 22784531]
- [29] Aransiola JO, Akinyemi AI, Fatusi AO. Women's perceptions and reflections of male partners and couple dynamics in family planning adoption in selected urban slums in Nigeria: a qualitative exploration. BMC Public Health 2014; 14: 869.http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471- 2458/14/869 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-14-869] [PMID: 25148699]
- [30] Güneş P. The impact of female education on fertility: Evidence from turkey grand challenges canada economic returns to mitigating early life risks project working paper series 2013. Available from: https://repository.upenn. edu/gcc_economic_returns/1
- [31] Dribe M, Hacker JD, Scalone F. The impact of socio-economic status on net fertility during the historical fertility decline: a comparative analysis of Canada, Iceland, Sweden, Norway, and the USA. Popul Stud (Camb) 2014; 68(2): 135-49.
- [http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00324728.2014.889741] [PMID: 24684711]
 [32] Bongaarts J. Can family planning programs reduce high desired family size in Sub-Saharan Africa? Int Perspect Sex Reprod Health 2011; 37(4): 209-16.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1363/3720911] [PMID: 22227628]

- Yeatman S, Sennott C. The relationship between partners' family-size preferences in southern Malawi. Stud Fam Plann 2014; 45(3): 361-77. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1728-4465.2014.00396.x] [PMID: 25207497]
- [34] Olaniyan O, Soyibo A, Lawanson OA. Demographic transition, demographic dividend and economic growth in Nigeria African Population Studies 2012; 26(): 159-76.
- [35] Yaya OS, Osayintupin OD. Determinants of desired and actual number of children and the risk of having more than two children in Ghana and Nigeria. Munich Personal RePEc Archive 2017.Available from: https://mpra.ub.uni-muenchen.de/288824/

© 2021 Akeju et al.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Public License (CC-BY 4.0), a copy of which is available at: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode. This license permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.