1874-9445/21

98



RESEARCH ARTICLE

Ethical Insight and Safety Principles of Working with Cadaver among the Firstyear Medical School Students-An Educational Research

Mahboobeh Khorsandi¹, Katayon Vakilian^{2,*}, Mohsen Fadavi³ and Parvindokht Bayat⁴

¹Department of Health Promotion and Education, Arak University of Medical Sciences, Arak, Iran ²Department of Reproductive Health, Arak University of Medical Sciences, Arak, Iran ³Medical ethics department faculty of Traditional medicine, Shahid Beheshti University of medical Sciences, tehran, Iran ⁴Department of Anatomy, Arak University of Medical Sciences, Arak, Iran

Abstract:

Background:

This study aimed to conduct a workshop to improve the ethics and safety of working with a cadaver.

Materials and Methods:

This interventional study was conducted on 37 freshmen medicine students working with a cadaver. A workshop was held by a medical ethics expert. Two weeks later, the questionnaires were again distributed among the participants. The data were analyzed by Paired-T and Wilcoxon test using SPSS 21 software.

Results:

The mean score of the ethical insight increased after the workshop (P=0.001). The insight on the safety did not show a significant variation (P=0.830).

Conclusion:

It is recommended to hold a workshop to teach the ethical points of working with the cadaver before the students' entrance to the dissection hall.

Keywords: Anatomy, Medical student, Ethics, Cadaver, Education, Workshop.

Article History	Received: October 4, 2020	Revised: December 20, 2020	Accepted: January 1, 2021

1. INTRODUCTION

Although dissection is a usual method in anatomy education, it can be a stressful experience for medical students [1]. Anatomy refers to the knowledge of the different parts of the body and their structural relationships. Understanding human diseases requires a comprehensive knowledge of the body. Cadaver has long been the oldest and the most basic source of morphological details of human anatomy [2, 3]. Despite the computer-aided methods to train the MDs and surgeons [4], cadavers have remained the best educational method [5]. The establishment of values such as respect, responsibility, and appreciation can never be achieved by the use of computer-based tools [6]. The human cadavers should

be respected as the first patients of the medical students. Kindness is one of the most important parts of professional commitment which can be learned by cadavers. Professional commitment should remind the student that the cadaver belongs to an individual who donated his/her body to improve medical science and train future physicians. Therefore, the students must appreciate such generosity and consider these cadavers as their silent teachers [7]. Despite the religious principles regarding the human body dignity in Islam, a limited number of studies have addressed this issue. It seems that this topic has been neglected in Iranian medical education, and we sometimes observe some challenges in this field. For example, if it is required to conduct an invasive act on the cadaver, the students must know that these cadavers are their silent teachers who were one day alive and the love of somebody; therefore, they should be treated with respect and just the same as an alive patient [8]. Developing an ethical and kind attitude

^{*} Address correspondence to this author at Department of Reproductive Health, Arak University of Medical Sciences, Arak, Iran; E-mail: dr.kvakilian@arakmu.ac.ir

toward human corpses should be seen as part of the more comprehensive process of becoming aware and reflexive about one's positionality and situated knowledge, and about the need to cultivate a wise stance [9, 10]. Cadaver autopsy helps the students to develop ethical insights, kindness, and care for human beings which are all among the perquisites of being a professional doctor. Therefore, the students' sense of kindness toward the cadavers should be taught by simple techniques through patient-oriented approaches and educating the humanitarian values. In this regard, the present study aimed to determine the effect of education on ethical insight and safety principles of working with cadavers among the medical students of Arak University of Medical sciences.

2. METHODS

This before-after interventional study was conducted on 37 first-year medical school students as a census sampling in the form of a workshop on ethics and safety principles of working with cadavers. After obtaining the approval of the ethics council of Arak University of Medical Sciences (ethics code:IR.ARAKMU.REC.1397.85), the initial version of the questionnaire on the ethics and safety principles of working with cadaver was edited by a team composed of anatomy and ethics experts. First, 30 questions were prepared, and then, they were decreased to 27 questions designed on the basis of the Likert scale ranging from completely agreed to completely disagreed, and divided into 2 sections: safety (6 questions) and ethics (20 questions). After confirming the reliability and validity of the questionnaire content by the experts, its internal correlation was evaluated by Cronbach's alpha test on 30 medicine students in their second year of study whose coefficient was 0.7, which was acceptable. The questionnaires were filled before the workshop. Then a 3-hour workshop was held on the ethics and safety principles of working with cadavers by a medical ethics expert. First, the concepts such as kindness and respect were explained; then using scenario and question-answer methods, group discussions were made to change the students' insight. Before entering the dissection hall and two weeks after the workshop, the questionnaires were again distributed among the participants. After the normality test (Kolmogrov-Smirnov Test), the data were analyzed by the paired t-test for ethics of cadaver and Wilcoxon Test for safety using SPSS 21 software.

3. RESULTS

The mean age of the students was 201.3; 60% of them were male and the remaining 40% were female. The ethical insight increased from 56.947.5 (before the workshop) to 82.55.79 (after the workshop) (P=0.001). The insight into the safety of working with a cadaver is presented in Table 1. The mean score of ethical insight increased after the workshop (P=0.001). The insight on the safety did not show a significant variation (P=0.830) (Table 1).

Considering some of the questionnaires on the ethical insight, the results showed that the question of "Is imaging for educational purposes an ethical act?" increased from 63.9 (23) (before the workshop) to 81.6% (28). Moreover, before the workshop, 28 students (7.8%) considered the cadaver

dissection as an entertaining task, while one of them (2.8%) agreed with this statement after the intervention. Before the workshop, 21 (58.3%) students agreed that the cadaver should be completely naked during the education, while the number of these students declined to 5 (13.9%) after the intervention. Before the intervention, 11 (30.6%) students agreed that the cadaver is like a teacher helping in their education, while these statics reached 15 (41.7%) after the workshop. Before the workshop, 12 participants (33.3%) agreed that any displacement of the cadaver should be conducted with respect and dignity; while 20 (55.6%) of the students agreed on that after the workshop. 5.6% agreed that doing amusing acts with the cadaver is against the ethics before the workshop which increased to 75% after that. The other items of ethics insight are presented in Table **2**.

Concerning the safety points, 6 (16.6%) of the students disagreed that jewelry is not forbidden in the dissection hall, which reached 14 (38.8%) after the workshop. Before the workshop, 3 (8.3%) of them agreed that the use of formalin does not prevent diseases which increased to 5 (13.9%) after the intervention. The other items of safety are listed in Table **3**.

4. DISCUSSION

This study was conducted to determine the effect of workshop training on the ethical and safety insights of working with cadavers among the freshmen medical students. No study has addressed the effect of the educational intervention on the ethical and safety principles of working with cadavers, and only descriptive and review studies can be mentioned in this regard. The results of this study showed that this educational workshop managed to affect the ethical insights of working with a cadaver, but it did not influence the safety issues. This showed that the students were sensitive to their safety even before the intervention and they believed that they should comply with the safety principles for their own safety; however, they need education on the ethical aspects of working with a cadaver.

 Table 1. Mean attitude towards ethics and safety aspects of the cadaver in medical students

-	-	Mean±SD	Ν	P-value
Ethics	Before	56.94±7.50	36	0.001
	After	82.500±5.79	36	0.001
Safety	Before	21.27±2.36	21.27±2.36 -	
	After	21.41±1.76	-	0.830

Before the workshop, 12 participants (33.3%) agreed that any displacement of the cadaver should be conducted with respect and dignity, while 20 (55.6%) of them agreed on that after the workshop. Considering the statement "doing amusing acts with the cadaver is against the ethics", 5.6% agreed before the workshop which increased to 75% after that. This amount of increase is not enough; hence, other educational approaches and the role-model effect of the ethics professors should be considered as well. Anatomy professors should consider the emotional aspects of the students, before, during, and after the course of anatomy. Activities such as the role of professor, student groups, and peer education could be useful [11].

Table 2. The items of Ethical Insights in the first-year medical school students.

-	-	- Pre Test		Post Test		
-	-	Ν	%	Ν	%	
	totally disagree	10	27.0	25	67.6	
1. Taking photos of the donated corpse is useful for educational purposes.	disagree	13	35.1	6	16.2	
	no idea	6	16.2	5	13.5	
educutional pulposes.	totally agree	2	5.4	1	2.7	
	totally agree	1	2.7	19	51.4	
	agree	0	0	10	27.0	
2. Taking photos of the donated corpse is fun.	no idea	4	10.8	5	13.5	
	totally disagree	17	45.9	1	2.7	
	totally agree	15	40.5	2	5.4	
	totally agree	1	2.7	10	27.0	
3. It is necessary to strip	agree	4	10.8	12	32.4	
all the limbs of the corpse	no idea	9	24.3	10	27.0	
while training.	totally disagree	15	40.5	3	8.1	
	totally agree	8	21.6	2	5.4	
	totally disagree	0	0	6	16.2	
4. There is no need to	disagree	1	2.7	14	37.8	
know about moral	no idea	4	10.8	9	24.3	
the donated corpse.	agree	18	48.6	5	13.5	
1	totally agree	14	37.8	3	8.1	
	totally agree	0	0	8	21.6	
5. There are no moral	agree	1	2.7	4	10.8	
limitations to remove parts of the corpse out of the	no idea	1	2.7	8	21.6	
dissection room for learning.	disagree	12	32.4	7	18.9	
	totally disagree	23	62.2	10	27.0	
	totally agree	0	0	20	54.1	
6. There is no moral	agree	0	0	13	35.1	
problem with doing fun things with the donated	no idea	-	-	2	5.4	
corpse.	disagree	10	27.0	-	-	
··· ···	totally disagree	27	73.0	2	5.4	
	totally disagree	0	0	9	24.3	
	disagree	1	2.7	9	24.3	
7. Removing some parts	no idea	5	13.5	11	29.7	
of the corpse in tests isjustified.	agree	14	37.8	4	10.8	
justinou.	totally agree	17	45.9	4	10.8	
	disagree	1	2.7	0	0	
8. While working with	no idea	5	13.5	9	24.3	
the corpse, I always ask	agree	12	32.4	18	48.6	
GOD to forgive the person	totally agree	19	51.4	10	27.0	
the donated the body.	totally disagree	-	-	2	5.4	
	disagree	3	8.1	3	8.1	
9. While working with the corpse, I refrain from	no idea	12	32.4	9	24.3	
any unnecessary talk.	agree	15	40.5	21	56.8	
-	totally agree	7	18.9	2	5.4	
	totally disagree	0	0	1	2.7	
 	-	1	2.7	0	0	
10. Caring for and <u>eeping the removed parts of</u>	no idea	3	8.1	8	21.6	
the corpse is considered as	agree	16	43.2	16	43.2	
respect for the corpse.	totally agree	17	45.9	10	32.4	
	ionally agree	1 /	43.7	12	52.4	

Ethics about the Dissection of the Cadaver

(Table 4) contd.....

-	-	Pre Test		Post Test		
-	-	Ν	%	Ν	%	
11. In my opinion, the donated corpse is like a teacher who helps me to learn the science of anatomy.	no idea	1	2.7	5	13.5	
	agree	20	54.1	21	56.8	
	totally agree	15	40.5	11	29.7	
10.77	totally agree	2	5.4	4	10.8	
12. The provision of human organs and bodies for	agree	0	0	6	16.2	
science advancement and	no idea	9	24.3	12	32.4	
training in any possible way	disagree	18	48.6	10	27.0	
is justifiable.	totally agree	8	21.6	5	13.5	
	totally agree	1	2.7	10	27.0	
	agree	2	5.4	9	24.3	
13.Identification of the	no idea	2	5.4	11	29.7	
corpse is important to me.	disagree	18	48.6	3	8.1	
	totally disagree	14	37.8	4	10.8	
	totally agree	0	0	5	13.5	
14.I have no moral	agree	0	0	12	32.4	
obligation to the professors' moral performance while	no idea	4	10.8	13	35.1	
working with the corpse.	disagree	25	67.6	5	13.5	
с I	totally disagree	8	21.6	2	5.4	
	totally agree	0	0	6	16.2	
15.I have no moral	agree	0	0	15	40.5	
obligation to the ethical performance of the	no idea	3	8.1	10	27.0	
dissection room crew.	disagree	24	64.9	4	10.8	
	totally disagree	10	27.0	2	5.4	
	totally agree	0	0	17	45.9	
16.In my opinion, dissecting	agree	0	0	16	43.2	
a corpse is basically	no idea	6	16.2	4	10.8	
immoral.	disagree	8	21.6	0	0	
	totally disagree	23	62.2	0	0	
	totally disagree	1	2.7	1	2.7	
	disagree	0	0	3	8.1	
17.The identity of corpses must remain confidential.	no idea	9	24.3	13	35.1	
indst feinam confidential.	agree	10	27.0	9	24.3	
Γ	totally agree	17	45.9	11	29.7	
	totally disagree	0	0	6	16.2	
10.1.1 ()1)	disagree	0	0	6	16.2	
18.I do not consider it immoral to name corpses.	no idea	3	8.1	14	37.8	
	agree	15	40.5	8	21.6	
	totally agree	19	51.4	3	8.1	
	disagree	0	0	1	2.7	
19.Any relocation of corpses must be done with respect	no idea	2	5.4	7	18.9	
and dignity.	agree	15	40.5	17	45.9	
	totally agree	20	54.1	12	32.4	
L	totally disagree	13	35.1	10	27.0	
0.I would like to donate my	disagree	9	24.3	3	8.1	
body after my death to be used in educational matters.	no idea	11	29.7	11	29.7	
	agree	3	8.1	2	5.4	
	totally agree	1	2.7	11	29.7	
21.It is permitted to bring	totally agree	2	5.4	8	21.6	
other people (both students	agree	2	5.4	15	40.5	
and non-students) to the	no idea	5	13.5	9	24.3	
dissection room for non- educational purposes.	disagree	15	40.5	5	13.5	
cucational purposes.	totally disagree	13	35.1	8	21.6	

-	-	Pre Test		Post Test	
-	-	Ν	%	Ν	%
It is necessary to use a whiteuniform or gun in the	no idea	0	0	2	5.4
	agree	7	18.9	10	27.0
dissection room.	totally agree	30	81.1	25	67.6
	disagree	0	0	1	2.7
While dissecting the corpse,	no idea	0	0	7	18.9
it is necessary to wear gloves and a mask.	agree	10	27.0	17	45.9
8	totally agree	27	73.0	12	32.4
	totally agree	21	56.8	-	-
There is no problem with	agree	9	24.3	2	5.4
eating and drinking in the	no idea	7	18.9	10	27.0
dissecting room.	disagree	0	0	13	35.1
	totally disagree	0	0	12	32.4
	totally agree	1	2.7	3	8.1
By using formalin to	agree	3	8.1	2	5.4
preserve the corpse, diseases	no idea	17	45.9	19	51.4
may be transmitted to me.	disagree	14	37.8	12	32.4
	totally disagree	2	5.4	1	2.7
	totally disagree	3	8.1	2	5.4
Using ornaments (ring and	disagree	7	18.9	6	16.2
bracelet, etc.) in the dissection room is not	no idea	12	32.4	23	62.2
prohibited.	agree	8	21.6	3	8.1
	totally agree	7	18.9	3	8.1
	totally disagree	0	0	6	16.2
I am not allowed to take	disagree	9	24.3	17	45.9
unnecessary things (such as bags and books) to the	no idea	7	18.9	5	13.5
dissection room.	agree	12	32.4	6	16.2
	totally agree	9	24.3	3	8.1

Scientific board members of the universities are the role models of the students, leading them to positive or negative attitudes. Evidence has shown that educators learn more professional ethics from their role models and hence these role models can deeply affect the students' behavior [12]. The present study has shown that education can improve the belief of respect towards the cadaver. Respect for the cadaver should be the same as respecting the passed away individual; therefore, any disrespect towards the cadaver is disrespecting his/her relatives. In a study, 82% of the students agreed that the dissected cadaver was a human just like them and 87% of them respected the cadaver [3, 13]. From the religious point of view, disrespect towards the passed away person, but it also implies disrespect towards humans [12].

In terms of the safety of working with the cadaver, the studies have suggested that the students should wear gloves and do not apply contact lenses in the dissection hall, do not bring their bags to the hall, and avoid eating and drinking [13, 14]. In the present study, 6 (16.6%) of the students disagreed that jewelry is not forbidden in the dissection hall which reached 14 (38.8%) after the education. Before the workshop, 3 (8.3%) of them agreed that the use of formalin does not prevent diseases which increased to 5 (13.9%) after the intervention. The insight on the safety did not show a significant variation

(P=0.830). For safety, the teacher as a model can sensitize students regarding their health [15]. Dissection is like surgery, so students should be on the lookout for sudden injuries. The risk of transmission of infections such as hepatitis B, tuberculosis, HIV, and hepatitis C could be considered. Therefore, in the dissection hall, students should use gloves and special tools such as scissors and forceps for the autopsy [16]. In a 4-year (2009-2012) study on injuries to students in the anatomy course, the results showed that 10 students out of 835 were injured. Injuries included cuts with a scalpel blade, one on the index finger, five were contaminated, and three were injured by other students. 4 people were injured by scalpel removal. Six of the eight blade injuries were in the left hand. Formalin was also spilled on 2 students [17].

CONCLUSION

As the workshop training was effective in enhancing the students' beliefs regarding the ethical points of working with the cadaver, the authors recommend that the anatomy professors, in cooperation with the ethics experts, should conduct some educational and awareness interventions to enhance the ethical insight of the students toward working with cadavers. Moreover, some ethical guidelines and standards should be considered in their curriculum regarding working with cadavers. Furthermore, an ethical oath before dissection can help protect the dignity of the cadaver.

ETHICS APPROVAL AND CONSENT TO PARTI-CIPATE

The study was approved by the ethical committee (ethics code:IR.ARAKMU.REC.1397.85) of Arak University of Medical Sciences, Iran.

HUMAN AND ANIMAL RIGHTS

No Animals were used in this research. All human research procedures followed were in accordance with the ethical standards of the committee responsible for human experimentation (institutional and national), and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2013.

CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION

Written informed consent was obtained from each participant prior to the study.

AVAILABILITY OF DATA AND MATERIALS

The datasets generated and/or analyzed during the current study are not publicly available due to the moral rules of Arak university of medical sciences.

FUNDING

This research was supported by the Arak University of Medical Sciences under the financial code no. 554.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest, financial or otherwise.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors appreciate the student committee of Arak University of Medical Sciences, the board of anatomy (Mohamad Bayat and Seyed Mohamad JafarHaeri, and Hedie Hyadegari), and the medical students, for their cooperation in the present research.

REFERENCES

[1] Romo-Barrientos C, Criado-Álvarez JJ, González-González J, et al.

Anxiety levels among health sciences students during their first visit to the dissection room. BMC Med Educ 2020; 20(1): 109. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12909-020-02027-2] [PMID: 32272926]

- [2] Şehirli ÜtS, Saka En, Sarikaya Ö. Attitudes of Turkish anatomists toward cadaver donation. Clin Anat: The official journal of the american association of clinical anatomists and the british association of clinical anatomists 2004; 17(8): 677-81. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ca.20056]
- [3] Izunya A, Oaikhena G, Nwaopara A. Attitudes to cadaver dissection in a Nigerian medical school 2010.
- [4] Tam MD, Hart AR, Williams S, Heylings D, Leinster S. Is learning anatomy facilitated by computer-aided learning? A review of the literature. Med Teach 2009; 31(9): e393-6. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/01421590802650092] [PMID: 19811174]
- [5] Ghosh SK. Cadaveric dissection as an educational tool for anatomical sciences in the 21st century. Anat Sci Educ 2017; 10(3): 286-99.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ase.1649] [PMID: 27574911]
- [6] Lala M. Cadaveric oath and its relevence in anatomy. International Journal Of Advances In Case Reports 2016; 3(6): 282-5.
- [7] FARAJ PA, MOSTAFAVIAN Z, RAH CM. The professionalism and medical ethics education through cadavericdissection 2018.
- [8] Wittich CM, Pawlina W, Drake RL, *et al.* Validation of a method for measuring medical students' critical reflections on professionalism in gross anatomy. Anat Sci Educ 2013; 6(4): 232-8. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ase.1329] [PMID: 23212713]
- [9] Simandan D. The wise stance in human geography. Trans Inst Br Geogr 2011; 36(2): 188-92.
- [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-5661.2010.00415.x]
 [10] Simandan D. Revisiting positionality and the thesis of situated knowledge. Dialogues in human geography 2019; 9(2): 129-49.
- [11] Rosenfield PJ, Jones L. Striking a balance: training medical students to provide empathetic care. Med Educ 2004; 38(9): 927-33.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2929.2004.01931.x] [PMID: 15327673]
- [12] Morar S, Dumbrava DP, Cristian A. Ethical and legal aspects of the use of the dead human body for teaching and scientific purposes. Rev Rom Bioet 2008; 6(4)
- [13] Bertman SL, Marks SC Jr. The dissection experience as a laboratory for self-discovery about death and dying: Another side of clinical anatomy. Clinical Anatomy: The official journal of the american association of clinical anatomists and the british association of clinical anatomists 1989; 2(2): 103-13. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ca.980020207]
- [14] Shaikh S. Cadaver dissection in anatomy: the ethical aspect. Anat Physiol 2015; 5(007): 2161-0940.
- [http://dx.doi.org/10.4172/2161-0940.S5-007]
 [15] Wilkinson TM. Respect for the dead and the ethics of anatomy. Clin Anat 2014; 27(3): 286-90.
- [http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ca.22263] [PMID: 23716492]
- [16] Cornwall J, Davies TM, Lees D. Student injuries in the dissecting room. Anat Sci Educ 2013; 6(6): 404-9. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ase.1363] [PMID: 23536433]
- [17] Romero-Reveron R. Accidental injuries in the dissecting room. Journal of Morphological Sciences [http://dx.doi.org/10.4322/jms.062713]

© 2021 Khorsandi. et al.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Public License (CC-BY 4.0), a copy of which is available at: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode. This license permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.