SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

OPEN ACCESS

ISSN: 1874-9445

Examining the Interplay of Social, Cultural, and Personal Factors in Shaping Students' Perceptions of Female Condom Usage: A Systematic Review



Mulweli Cyril Ramawa*, 100 and Ntsieni Stella Mashau 100

¹Department of Public Health, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Venda, Thohoyandou, South Africa

© 2025 The Author(s). Published by Bentham Open.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Public License (CC-BY 4.0), a copy of which is available at: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode. This license permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.



*Address correspondence to this author at the Department of Public Health, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Venda, Thohoyandou, South Africa; Tel: 015 962 8892; E-mail: mulweli99cyril@gmail.com

Cite as: Ramawa M, Mashau N. Examining the Interplay of Social, Cultural, and Personal Factors in Shaping Students' Perceptions of Female Condom Usage: A Systematic Review. Open Public Health J, 2025; 18: e18749445409906. http://dx.doi.org/10.2174/0118749445409906250908122058

Published: September 11, 2025



Send Orders for Reprints to reprints@benthamscience.net

PRISMA 2020 Checklist.

Section and Topic	Item #	Checklist item	Location where item is reported
TITLE			
Title	1	Identify the report as a systematic review.	Page i
ABSTRACT			
Abstract	2	See the PRISMA 2020 for Abstracts checklist.	Page i
INTRODUCTION			
Rationale	3	Describe the rationale for the review in the context of existing knowledge.	Page 2
Objectives	4	Provide an explicit statement of the objective(s) or question(s) the review addresses.	2
METHODS			
Eligibility criteria	5	Specify the inclusion and exclusion criteria for the review and how studies were grouped for the syntheses.	Page 2,3
Information sources	6	Specify all databases, registers, websites, organisations, reference lists and other sources searched or consulted to identify studies. Specify the date when each source was last searched or consulted.	Page 2
Search strategy	7	Present the full search strategies for all databases, registers and websites, including any filters and limits used.	Page 2
Selection process	8	Specify the methods used to decide whether a study met the inclusion criteria of the review, including how many reviewers screened each record and each report retrieved, whether they worked independently, and if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process.	Page 3
Data collection process	9	Specify the methods used to collect data from reports, including how many reviewers collected data from each report, whether they worked independently, any processes for obtaining or confirming data from study investigators, and if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process.	Page 2,3 and 6
Data items	10a	List and define all outcomes for which data were sought. Specify whether all results that were compatible with each outcome domain in each study were sought (e.g. for all measures, time points, analyses), and if not, the methods used to decide which results to collect.	Page 5 and 6, data evaluation and analysis
	10b	List and define all other variables for which data were sought (e.g. participant and intervention characteristics, funding sources). Describe any assumptions made about any missing or unclear information.	N/A

Effect measures	Specify the methods used to assess risk of bias in the included studies, including details of the tool(s) used, how many reviewers assessed each study and whether they worked independently, and if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process. Specify for each outcome the effect measure(s) (e.g. risk ratio, mean difference) used in the synthesis or presentation of results. Describe the processes used to decide which studies were eligible for each synthesis (e.g. tabulating the study intervention characteristics and comparing against the planned groups for each synthesis (item #5)). Describe any methods required to prepare the data for presentation or synthesis, such as handling of missing summary statistics, or data conversions. Describe any methods used to tabulate or visually display results of individual studies and syntheses. Describe any methods used to synthesize results and provide a rationale for the choice(s). If meta-analysis was performed, describe the model(s), method(s) to identify the presence and extent of statistical heterogeneity, and software package(s) used. Describe any methods used to explore possible causes of heterogeneity among study results (e.g. subgroup analysis, meta-regression). Describe any sensitivity analyses conducted to assess robustness of the synthesized results. Describe any methods used to assess risk of bias due to missing results in a synthesis (arising from reporting biases). Describe any methods used to assess certainty (or confidence) in the body of evidence for an outcome. Describe the results of the search and selection process, from the number of records identified in the search to the number of studies included in the review, ideally using a flow diagram. Cite studies that might appear to meet the inclusion criteria, but which were excluded, and explain why they were excluded.	N/A Page 6 Table 2 Page 6/ data analysis N/A 6 thematic analysis N/A 7 Prisma flow chart, and
Synthesis methods	presentation of results. Describe the processes used to decide which studies were eligible for each synthesis (e.g. tabulating the study intervention characteristics and comparing against the planned groups for each synthesis (item #5)). Describe any methods required to prepare the data for presentation or synthesis, such as handling of missing summary statistics, or data conversions. Describe any methods used to tabulate or visually display results of individual studies and syntheses. Describe any methods used to synthesize results and provide a rationale for the choice(s). If meta-analysis was performed, describe the model(s), method(s) to identify the presence and extent of statistical heterogeneity, and software package(s) used. Describe any methods used to explore possible causes of heterogeneity among study results (e.g. subgroup analysis, meta-regression). Describe any sensitivity analyses conducted to assess robustness of the synthesized results. Describe any methods used to assess risk of bias due to missing results in a synthesis (arising from reporting biases). Describe any methods used to assess certainty (or confidence) in the body of evidence for an outcome. Describe the results of the search and selection process, from the number of records identified in the search to the number of studies included in the review, ideally using a flow diagram. Cite studies that might appear to meet the inclusion criteria, but which were excluded, and explain why	Page 6 Table 2 Page 6/ data analysis N/A 6 thematic analysis N/A 7 5 Prisma flow chart, and
13b 13c 13d	study intervention characteristics and comparing against the planned groups for each synthesis (item #5)). Describe any methods required to prepare the data for presentation or synthesis, such as handling of missing summary statistics, or data conversions. Describe any methods used to tabulate or visually display results of individual studies and syntheses. Describe any methods used to synthesize results and provide a rationale for the choice(s). If meta-analysis was performed, describe the model(s), method(s) to identify the presence and extent of statistical heterogeneity, and software package(s) used. Describe any methods used to explore possible causes of heterogeneity among study results (e.g. subgroup analysis, meta-regression). Describe any sensitivity analyses conducted to assess robustness of the synthesized results. Describe any methods used to assess risk of bias due to missing results in a synthesis (arising from reporting biases). Describe any methods used to assess certainty (or confidence) in the body of evidence for an outcome. Describe the results of the search and selection process, from the number of records identified in the search to the number of studies included in the review, ideally using a flow diagram. Cite studies that might appear to meet the inclusion criteria, but which were excluded, and explain why	Page 6 Table 2 Page 6/ data analysis N/A 6 thematic analysis N/A 7 5 Prisma flow chart, and
13c 13d	missing summary statistics, or data conversions. Describe any methods used to tabulate or visually display results of individual studies and syntheses. Describe any methods used to synthesize results and provide a rationale for the choice(s). If meta-analysis was performed, describe the model(s), method(s) to identify the presence and extent of statistical heterogeneity, and software package(s) used. Describe any methods used to explore possible causes of heterogeneity among study results (e.g. subgroup analysis, meta-regression). Describe any sensitivity analyses conducted to assess robustness of the synthesized results. Describe any methods used to assess risk of bias due to missing results in a synthesis (arising from reporting biases). Describe any methods used to assess certainty (or confidence) in the body of evidence for an outcome. Describe the results of the search and selection process, from the number of records identified in the search to the number of studies included in the review, ideally using a flow diagram. Cite studies that might appear to meet the inclusion criteria, but which were excluded, and explain why	Table 2 Page 6/ data analysis N/A 6 thematic analysis N/A 7 Prisma flow chart, and
13d 13d 13d 13e 13f	Describe any methods used to synthesize results and provide a rationale for the choice(s). If meta-analysis was performed, describe the model(s), method(s) to identify the presence and extent of statistical heterogeneity, and software package(s) used. Describe any methods used to explore possible causes of heterogeneity among study results (e.g. subgroup analysis, meta-regression). Describe any sensitivity analyses conducted to assess robustness of the synthesized results. Describe any methods used to assess risk of bias due to missing results in a synthesis (arising from reporting biases). Describe any methods used to assess certainty (or confidence) in the body of evidence for an outcome. Describe the results of the search and selection process, from the number of records identified in the search to the number of studies included in the review, ideally using a flow diagram. Cite studies that might appear to meet the inclusion criteria, but which were excluded, and explain why	Page 6/ data analysis N/A 6 thematic analysis N/A 7 5 Prisma flow chart, and
13e 13e 13f	was performed, describe the model(s), method(s) to identify the presence and extent of statistical heterogeneity, and software package(s) used. Describe any methods used to explore possible causes of heterogeneity among study results (e.g. subgroup analysis, meta-regression). Describe any sensitivity analyses conducted to assess robustness of the synthesized results. Describe any methods used to assess risk of bias due to missing results in a synthesis (arising from reporting biases). Describe any methods used to assess certainty (or confidence) in the body of evidence for an outcome. Describe the results of the search and selection process, from the number of records identified in the search to the number of studies included in the review, ideally using a flow diagram. Cite studies that might appear to meet the inclusion criteria, but which were excluded, and explain why	analysis N/A 6 thematic analysis N/A 7 Prisma flow chart, and
Reporting bias 13f	subgroup analysis, meta-regression). Describe any sensitivity analyses conducted to assess robustness of the synthesized results. Describe any methods used to assess risk of bias due to missing results in a synthesis (arising from reporting biases). Describe any methods used to assess certainty (or confidence) in the body of evidence for an outcome. Describe the results of the search and selection process, from the number of records identified in the search to the number of studies included in the review, ideally using a flow diagram. Cite studies that might appear to meet the inclusion criteria, but which were excluded, and explain why	6 thematic analysis N/A 7 5 Prisma flow chart, and
Reporting bias assessment 14 I assessment 15 I RESULTS Study selection 16a I assessment 15 I	Describe any methods used to assess risk of bias due to missing results in a synthesis (arising from reporting biases). Describe any methods used to assess certainty (or confidence) in the body of evidence for an outcome. Describe the results of the search and selection process, from the number of records identified in the search to the number of studies included in the review, ideally using a flow diagram. Cite studies that might appear to meet the inclusion criteria, but which were excluded, and explain why	analysis N/A 7 5 Prisma flow chart, and
assessment 1 Certainty assessment 15 RESULTS 16a Study selection 16b 16b 16b Study characteristics 17 Risk of bias in studies 18 Results of individual studies 19 Results of syntheses 20a 20b 20b	reporting biases). Describe any methods used to assess certainty (or confidence) in the body of evidence for an outcome. Describe the results of the search and selection process, from the number of records identified in the search to the number of studies included in the review, ideally using a flow diagram. Cite studies that might appear to meet the inclusion criteria, but which were excluded, and explain why	7 5 Prisma flow chart, and
RESULTS Study selection 16a 16b 16b	Describe the results of the search and selection process, from the number of records identified in the search to the number of studies included in the review, ideally using a flow diagram. Cite studies that might appear to meet the inclusion criteria, but which were excluded, and explain why	5 Prisma flow chart, and
16a 16b	search to the number of studies included in the review, ideally using a flow diagram. Cite studies that might appear to meet the inclusion criteria, but which were excluded, and explain why	Prisma flow chart, and
Study characteristics 17 Risk of bias in studies 18 Results of individual studies Results of syntheses 20a 20b 3	search to the number of studies included in the review, ideally using a flow diagram. Cite studies that might appear to meet the inclusion criteria, but which were excluded, and explain why	Prisma flow chart, and
Study characteristics 17 Risk of bias in studies 18 Results of individual studies Results of syntheses 20a 20b Studies 20b Stu		chart, and
Risk of bias in studies Results of individual studies Results of syntheses 20a l		Literature search Figure 1
Results of individual studies 19 Results of syntheses 20a 20b 5	Cite each included study and present its characteristics.	6, Table 2
studies (Results of syntheses 20a l	Present assessments of risk of bias for each included study.	N/A
20b I	For all outcomes, present, for each study: (a) summary statistics for each group (where appropriate) and (b) an effect estimate and its precision (e.g. confidence/credible interval), ideally using structured tables or plots.	N/A
	For each synthesis, briefly summarise the characteristics and risk of bias among contributing studies.	N/A
l I	Present results of all statistical syntheses conducted. If meta-analysis was done, present for each the summary estimate and its precision (e.g. confidence/credible interval) and measures of statistical heterogeneity. If comparing groups, describe the direction of the effect.	N/A
20c l	Present results of all investigations of possible causes of heterogeneity among study results.	Page 7 results
20d l	Present results of all sensitivity analyses conducted to assess the robustness of the synthesized results.	7
	Present assessments of risk of bias due to missing results (arising from reporting biases) for each synthesis assessed.	N/A
Certainty of evidence 22 l	Present assessments of certainty (or confidence) in the body of evidence for each outcome assessed.	N/A
DISCUSSION		
l — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	Provide a general interpretation of the results in the context of other evidence.	11
	Discuss any limitations of the evidence included in the review.	14
	Discuss any limitations of the review processes used.	14
	Discuss implications of the results for practice, policy, and future research.	12
OTHER INFORMATION		1.4
protocol t	Provide registration information for the review, including register name and registration number, or state that the review was not registered.	14
	Indicate where the review protocol can be accessed, or state that a protocol was not prepared.	14
	Describe and explain any amendments to information provided at registration or in the protocol.	14
	Describe sources of financial or non-financial support for the review, and the role of the funders or sponsors in the review.	14
	Declare any competing interests of review authors.	14
Availability of data, 27 I code and other materials I From Page MI McKenzio IF Rec	Report which of the following are publicly available and where they can be found: template data collection	

From: Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ 2021;372:n71. doi: 10.1136/bmj.n71.